**National Pork Board**

**Request for Letter of Intent - 2026**

**Human Nutrition**

**Deadline**: **Friday, January 16, 2026 - 5:00 PM CST**

On behalf of America’s pig farmers, the National Pork Board provides science-based nutrition information to, and in collaboration with, a variety of stakeholders committed to fostering a healthier society, including health professionals, academics, industry, consumers and media.

The National Pork Board is committed to building a strong foundation of high-quality scientific evidence, from observational epidemiological and clinical intervention studies to modeling and substitution analysis research, that helps determine the health effects of pork consumption across the lifespan.

The Human Nutrition Advisory Group of the National Pork Board is requesting proposals in **HUMAN NUTRITION** (See details below)**.** The proposal process uses an initial letter of intent (LOI). The LOI will be used for initial screening. Projects that are selected from the LOIs will be notified by **February 6, 2026,** and invited to submit a full proposal by **February 25, 2026**. Request for full proposal application will be made to the Principal Investigator. Specific research topics and questions are listed below, not in priority order. All proposals submitted **must** address at least one of the specific research focus areas or questions described below. **Novel approaches and concepts are encouraged.**

There is $650,000 in total for **2026 funding**, and the budget request should be appropriate and justified for the work that is being proposed. Researchers are encouraged to find co-funding or matching funds or in-kind contributions for the project when possible.

Proposals must be submitted in the LOI format shown below to be considered.

**National Pork Board**

**Human Nutrition 2026**

**LOI Cover Page**

**It is our policy to honor the confidentiality of each research proposal to protect investigators from having their ideas exposed to unnecessary critique and discussion. However, during review, the reviewer may make discrete inquiries on protocol mechanics if it improves the quality of his/her evaluation, so long as the source and nature of the work is undisclosed.**

**Is this a request for an additional year of funding? \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_**

**If so, please indicate original project number: \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Category:**  | **HUMAN NUTRITION**  |
| **Project Title:** |  |
| **Estimated Funding Amount:** |  | **Project Duration:** |  |
|  |  |
| **Principal Investigator and Title:** |  |
| **Institution:** |  |
| **Address:** |  |
| **City, ST ZIP** |  |
| **Phone:** |  | **FAX:**  |  |
| **Email:** |  |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Co-Investigator(s)** | **Institution, City, State** | **Email address** |
| **1.**  |  |  |
| **2.**  |  |  |
| **3.**  |  |  |
| **4.**  |  |  |
| **5.**  |  |  |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Contracts/Grants Administrator name:** *(person to receive contracts, if funded)* |  |
|  | **Title** |       | **Organization** |  |
|  | **Street Address** |  |
|  | **City** |  | **State** |  | **Zip** |  |
|  | **Phone** |  | **Fax** |  | **E-Mail** |  |
|  |

|  |
| --- |
| **How did you find out about this grant opportunity? Select all that apply.**  |
| [ ] Pork Checkoff Website | [ ] Email | [ ] Colleague |
| [ ] Lunch & Learn | [ ] ASN Advertisement | [ ] LinkedIn |
| [ ] Other:  | [ ] Referral: \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ |  |

**National Pork Board**

**REQUEST FOR LETTER OF INTENT – 2026**

**Human Nutrition**

**Letter of Intent due: Friday January 16, 2026 – 5:00 PM CST**

The proposal process uses an initial Letter of Intent (LOI). The LOI will be used for initial screening. Projects that are selected from the LOIs will be invited to submit a full proposal. Request for full proposal application will be made by the National Pork Board to the Principal Investigator by **February 6, 2026.** Full proposal applications will be due to National Pork Board by **February 25, 2026.**

Your application should consist of 3 pages – the cover page and the 2-page LOI. The LOI should be typed in 12-point font size, Times New Roman font, double-spaced with margins of .5” on left, right, top and bottom. A CV is not required. If included, CVs will not count against page totals.

**The 2-page LOI should contain the following:**

* + 1. Project Title
		2. National Pork Board Research Priorities to be Addressed
		3. Brief Background/Statement of Purpose (briefly stated)
		4. Hypothesis/Specific Aims (briefly stated)
		5. Experimental Approach (briefly stated)
		6. Expected Budget & Study Timeline (broad estimates are fine)
		7. Expected Outcomes & Importance to the Pork Industry (briefly stated)
		8. References (if applicable)
		9. Optional – CV, collaborating researcher details

If you have any questions, please contact Kristen Hicks-Roof, Director of Human Nutrition at the National Pork Board by email kroof@pork.org.

**Appendix**

**Research Integrity Guidelines**

As part of its congressional mandate established in 1985, the Pork Checkoff is tasked with advancing the pork industry through research that covers all areas of pork production, processing, and human nutrition.

Checkoff funding is a key mechanism to make independent, scientifically sound research possible for the benefit of America’s pig farmers and domestic and global pork consumers.

With a focus on continuous improvement from farm to fork, Checkoff research funds are investing in independent research studies focused on multiple key areas, including animal welfare, disease prevention, genetics, production, the environment and human health and nutrition. This focused, yet multi-pronged approach enables farmers to meet the challenges of responsible, ethical, and sustainable pork production today and for the future.

**In order to maintain integrity and transparency in the conduct of this research, and to help minimize the potential for bias due to funding source, the National Pork Board (NPB) abides by the below research integrity guidelines informed by accepted scientific practice and guiding principles:**

* NPB requires control of the study design, the research itself, and the interpretation of findings remain with scientific investigators;
* NPB requires that if and where relevant, researchers must disclose if the funder advised on the study design, conduct of research, and/or the development of the manuscript;
* NPB requires supported researchers, either acting within contract research entities/organizations or as contract researchers, disclose in publications and conference presentations, full written or oral disclosure, all relevant relationships (financial and non-financial competing interests);
* NPB ensures that prior to the commencement of studies, there is a written agreement that the investigative team has an obligation to attempt to publish the findings within some specified timeframe and the freedom to choose the journal to which the work will be submitted and that the research team submit results from all completed studies for publication regardless of outcomes;
* NPB guarantees that payment of research is not contingent on the outcome of a research project;
* NPB requires that researchers not participate in undisclosed authorship arrangements in publications or presentations;
* NPB requires that academic researchers, when they work in contract research organizations or act as contract researchers, make clear statements of all their affiliations; require that such researchers publish under the auspices of the contract research organization;
* NPB’s Human Nutrition Research Program issues a formal request for letters of intent that is open to the entire research community and selects and supports only the highest quality research proposals;
* NPB utilizes external subject matter experts in nutrition science and related fields to guide its selection of scientifically sound research proposals;
* NPB requires the conduct or sponsorship of research that is factual, transparent, and designed objectively; according to accepted principles of scientific inquiry, the research design will investigate an appropriately phrased hypothesis and/or question, rather than favor a particular outcome;
* NPB guarantees accessibility to all data and control of statistical analysis by investigators and appropriate auditors/reviewers, and that as relevant, projects are registered with appropriate internationally-accepted databases (e.g., ClinicalTrials.gov, etc.) and adhere to applicable reporting guidelines (e.g., CONSORT, PRISMA, etc.);
* NPB is committed to the responsible communication of the studies it funds across mediums (e.g., media interviews, blog posts, etc.). Nutrition communications materials produced by NPB are reviewed by a team of internal and external nutrition experts and all efforts are made to ensure that study results are put in the appropriate context of the broader body of scientific evidence.

**The National Pork Board reviews these research integrity guidelines on an annual basis to ensure they remain relevant, appropriate and useful.**
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